

Examiner's objection to the drawings. In particular, the head attitude sensor of Figs. 2 and 3 and signal line interconnecting the interface 50 and the HMD 56 are both labeled 62. Accordingly, a proposed amendment to Figs. 2 and 3 changes the signal line interconnecting interface 50 and HMD 56 to --62a--. Similarly, the signal line 74a of Fig. 3 has been changed to 74 to be consistent with Fig. 2 and because there is no reference to reference numeral 74a in the specification.

Regarding reference numeral 20, it has been added by the above amendment to the specification at page 6, line 9. The reference numeral 120 appears at page 13, line 31. The reference numeral 62 appears at numerous places in the specification, for instance page 9, line 28, page 11, line 15, and page 13, line 25. Reference numeral 136 appears at page 15, line 23. Reference numeral 138 has been added at page 15, line 24 by the above amendment.

Regarding the objection to the drawings because of Fig. 7, the objection is not understood because Fig. 7 is entirely located on one sheet. Regarding the reference numeral 62 in Fig. 3, it has been changed to 62a.

Withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is requested.

Regarding the objection to the specification, the reference numeral modifying modem 18 has been changed to read modem 16, as pointed out by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the objection to the specification is requested.

Regarding the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejection of claim 5, please note that the inventor for both the subject claim 5 and U.S. Patent No. 5,734,421 are one and the same. Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) is inapplicable *inter alia* because it only applies to inventions filed by another.

The indication of allowable subject matter in the remaining claims is noted with appreciation.

New claims 21-31 have been added to cover a display such as shown in Fig. 6 (with reference numerals and axis indications (x, y, z) provided without limitation). The specification has been amended at page 18 to provide explicit support for the claim language first, second and third platform parts (180, 185, 198). This language is consistent with original claim 5 ("display platform").


The prior art made of record and not relied upon is noted and it is agreed that the subject invention is novel and nonobvious.

Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 25, 2002, disclosing Geisler et al (U.S. 6,252,989) applicant has not received the initialed PTO-1449. A copy thereof is enclosed for the Examiner's use. It is also noted that the U.S. Patent 5,734,421 cited by the Examiner in the PTO-892 was already cited by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed

February 11, 1999.

The objections and rejections of the Official Action of May 7, 2002, having been obviated by amendment or shown to be inapplicable, withdrawal thereof is requested and passage of claims 1-20 to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Francis J. Maguire
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 31,391

/mo
July 25, 2002
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
Bradford Green, Building 5
755 Main Street, PO Box 224
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 261-1234



313-010-1
Serial No. 09/177,356

Version with Markings to Show Changes Made

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

The paragraph beginning at page 6, line 5 has been amended as follows:

Fig. 1 shows a prior art internet remote video monitoring application where a user 10 of a personal computer (PC) 12 can access the internet 14 via a modem 18 and a local internet service provider (ISP) 18. Another service provider 20 is connected via a router 22 and a hub 24 to a plurality of video servers 26, ..., 28 which are in turn connected to a plurality of video cameras 30, ..., 32. The cameras can be located in different parts of an industrial plant such as the factory 34 and the warehouse 36. In this way the user 10 can monitor various parts of the plant remotely. It is even possible to remotely control the cameras, e.g., by controlling their lenses 38, 40 to zoom in and out. It should be realized, however, that the camera or cameras can be located anywhere and that the internet 14 can be any kind of connection or connections provided that bidirectionality is provided.

The paragraph beginning at page 15, line 16 has been amended as follows:

A conventional display 128 responsive to a signal on a line 130 from an interface 132 can be used instead of the HMD 56 or the device such as shown in U.S. Patent No. 5,436,638. An attitude sensor or a conventional input device such as a mouse, joystick or the like 134 can be used, or a sensor such as shown in U.S. Patent No. 5,436,638, to provide an upstream control signal on a line 136 to the interface 132. The interface 132 interchanges a bidirectional signal on a media line 138 with a wideband internet service provider 140 connected to the internet 76 by a line 142.